

Date: Fri, 11 Feb 94 04:30:50 PST
From: Ham-Homebrew Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Homebrew-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Homebrew@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Homebrew Digest V94 #26
To: Ham-Homebrew

Ham-Homebrew Digest Fri, 11 Feb 94 Volume 94 : Issue 26

Today's Topics:

2m/70cm linear - circuit wanted
BALUN FOR 2-M YAGI
Microphones & motorcycle helmets
Project #15: A Spark-Gap Transmitter
QRP shopping list (3 msgs)
Transceiver headset
Varactor tuned VFOs (2 msgs)
Yaesu MH-29 speaker/mike audio levels

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Homebrew@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Homebrew-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Homebrew Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-homebrew".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 8 Feb 94 16:40:11 GMT
From: ogicse!cs.uoregon.edu!sgiblab!spool.mu.edu!darwin.sura.net!
fconvx.ncifcrf.gov!mack@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 2m/70cm linear - circuit wanted
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

In article <patrick_tatro.16.7C558180@stortek.com> patrick_tatro@stortek.com
(Patrick Tatro) writes:

>In article <1994Feb8.080757.1530@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu> dtock@nyx.cs.du.edu (David
Tock) writes:

>>From: dtock@nyx.cs.du.edu (David Tock)

>>Subject: 2m/70cm linear - circuit wanted

>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 94 08:07:57 GMT

>

>David

Date: Mon, 7 Feb 1994 20:56:55 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!
srgenprp!alanb@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Microphones & motorcycle helmets
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

Tim Ikeda (timi@mendel.berkeley.edu) wrote:
: I'm wondering if anyone has a good system for reducing the wind
: and engine noise picked up by full-faced helmet microphones.

They're expensive, but the noise-cancelling mikes used in airplanes
do an incredible job.

AL N1AL

Date: Tue, 8 Feb 1994 21:14:14 +0000
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
pipex!demon!dis.demon.co.uk!kanga.demon.co.uk!dick@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Project #15: A Spark-Gap Transmitter
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

HI what is the "boatanchors" group?
Info appreciated
73 de Dick

Date: 9 Feb 1994 21:57:26 GMT
From: koriel!newscast.West.Sun.COM!abyss.West.Sun.COM!sunspot!myers@ames.arpa
Subject: QRP shopping list
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

In article 13644@tekig7.PEN.TEK.COM, royle@tekig6.PEN.TEK.COM (Roy W Lewallen)
writes:

>
>
>myers@pongo.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers):
>>>
>>>Transistors
>>[...]
>>>2N4416+
>>[...]
>>>MPF102+
>

>>These are essentially the same transistor, at least as far as QRP projects
>>go. . .

>

>Although usually true, there are a couple of common applications
>where substituting an MPF102 for a 2N4416 might cause a problem. These
>JFETs are commonly used as an oscillator, either Harley or Colpitts. In
>these simple oscillators, the oscillator output amplitude is directly
>related to the individual device's pinchoff voltage. The MPF102 has much
>looser specifications, so in some cases will deliver too small (or large)
>a signal when used in one of these oscillators in place of a 2N4416. Of
>course, you can make up the difference in a following stage if it's a
>one-off design or you're willing to put in an adjustment.

There have been a few letters published in QST mentioning the device-to-device variation of the MPF102 can result in considerable performance changes. In particular, the use of the MPF102 in an oscillator is rather mentioned; you just about have to hand-select a FET out of a batch for best/correct output.

I've never really looked at it hard, but most oscillator circuits that use a JFET include a diode from the gate to ground. This obviously serves to clamp the gate voltage before the gate/source diode conducts (as long as the diode is fast enough :-)) , and also will build a DC offset on the coupling capacitor from the tank circuit to the gate. This DC bias would appear to, and is said to, provide an AGC action is stabilizing the oscillator output amplitude. What comes to mind, is this action adequate to compensate for common device variations?

>The other application where you might have a problem is using the MPF102
>for a common-gate RF amplifier. A typical application runs the JFET at
>Idss and couples the signal in through a 1:4 impedance ratio transformer.
>With the 2N4416, this will result in an input SWR of 1.5 or less; the
>MPF102 can present a mismatch as high as 2.5:1 because of its looser specs.
>This may not cause a problem, but it could reduce stage gain. Or if the
>common-base stage follows a filter, it could result in degraded filter
>performance.

Yup; I always recommend using a J310 for such an application since (a) the specs are tighter and increase chance of repeatability and (b) the fairly high forward Gm of the J/U310 provides a pretty good match directly to 50-75ohms.

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ, DoD 466 | Views expressed here are

*

* (310) 348-6043 | mine and do not necessarily *

* Dana.Myers@West.Sun.Com | reflect those of my employer

*

* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

Date: 10 Feb 94 18:27:40 GMT
From: ogicse!news.tek.com!tekig7!tekig6!royle@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: QRP shopping list
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers):

>I've never really looked at it hard, but most oscillator circuits that use
>a JFET include a diode from the gate to ground. This obviously serves to
>clamp the gate voltage before the gate/source diode conducts (as long as the
>diode is fast enough :-)), and also will build a DC offset on the coupling
>capacitor from the tank circuit to the gate. This DC bias would appear to,
>and is said to, provide an AGC action is stabilizing the oscillator output
>amplitude. What comes to mind, is this action adequate to compensate for
>common device variations?

No. The diode limits the positive excursion of the gate signal. Pinchoff
determines the negative excursion.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL
roy.w.lewallen@tek.com

Date: 8 Feb 1994 23:16:12 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!swrinde!sgiblab!sgigate.sgi.com!olivea!korie!
newscast.West.Sun.COM!abyss.West.Sun.COM!pongo!myers@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: QRP shopping list
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

In article <CKx8tG.K85@ryn.mro.dec.com> randolph@est.enet.dec.com (Tom Randolph)
writes:

>
>With flea market season rapidly approaching here in the NE, I sat down with
>W1FB's QRP Notebook and went through all the schematics to compile this parts
>list. This is everything that appears 3 or more times in the book, except for
>important stuff like chips. Buy lots of it if it has a + next to it.
>So now you all can fill up your QRP junk boxes...

>
>Transistors
[...]
>2N4416+
[...]
>MPF102+

These are essentially the same transistor, at least as far as QRP projects go. I stocked up on J310s from Hamilton/Hallmark, which is at least as good as the 2N4416/MPF102, for \$.34/ea brand-new.

--

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ, DoD 466 | Views expressed here are

*

* (310) 348-6043 | mine and do not necessarily *

* Dana.Myers@West.Sun.Com | reflect those of my employer

*

* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

Date: 10 Feb 1994 15:29:28 GMT

From: concert!ecsgate!bruce.uncg.edu!hamlet!henderdx@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Transceiver headset

To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

I have a question that is possibly a little out of place here in your news group, but for lack of a better place to post...

I'm not a HAM-ster, but I'd like to build a headset (Microphone/earphones) w/ a built in transmitter receiver for use w/ my computer. I'd like it as compact as possible. i.e. all in the head set w/ a battery pack.

This will require at least two (three if I decide to go stereo) IC's (RS-232), plus possibly a very small pre-amp for the mic (this will depend on output levels on the mic and required input levels at the IC.)

Does anyone know where I can get a design for such a project? Or better yet, has anyone ever built that sort of thing?

Any info, hints, tips, tricks, or possible "hang-ups" would be greatly appreciated.

--

David Henderson
henderdx@hamlet.uncg.edu

Date: Fri, 11 Feb 1994 01:08:19 GMT

From: cs.utexas.edu!news.unt.edu!news.oc.com!news.kei.com!eff!news.umbc.edu!
europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Varactor tuned VFOs
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

In article <CKvBKr.HMn@hpcvsnz.cv.hp.com> tomb@lsid.hp.com (Tom Bruhns) writes:

>Actually, in a sense, there are a whole lot of them: as
>VCO's in PLLs in things like handhelds. As someone else
>pointed out, they aren't particularly temp stable, but a
>bit of feedback can solve that problem. And you can
>frequency or phase lock them quite easily: frequency
>locking is especially easy, since if you assume that the
>VCO won't drift too much in a single count period (say
>1/10 second), you only have to keep track of the least
>significant bits to get enough info for a correction.
>Continuing the example, 4 bits of count could correct
>+/- 70Hz each 1/10 second, and if you feed the correction
>into an integrator, it will track. If you have a drift
>that large, you probably need to work on the open-loop
>characteristics anyway, before closing the loop. Anyway,
>the result would be a 10Hz reference, a 4 bit counter,
>a 4 bit latch to save the "desired", a 4 bit adder
>(actually subtracter) to find the error, a 4 bit DAC
>(4 resistors driven from the CMOS output of the adder),
>and an integrator. You could put all that in a FPGA
>(including the reference generator and timing stuff)
>pretty trivially. But you can also do it with common
>parts that have been available since the late '60's...

Hmmm, this scheme would allow analog tuning that "snaps"
to 10 Hz increments with the long term drift characteristics
of a crystal reference. Neat.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV		You make it,		gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems		we break it.		uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way		Guaranteed!		emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244				

Date: 11 Feb 1994 03:48:37 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!odin!trier@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Varactor tuned VFOs
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

In article <CKvBKr.HMn@hpcvsnz.cv.hp.com>, Tom Bruhns <tomb@lsid.hp.com> wrote:

>Continuing the example, 4 bits of count could correct
>+/- 70Hz each 1/10 second, and if you feed the correction
>into an integrator, it will track.

Neat! One of those Microchip PICs could do the job nicely, replacing
the adder and 10 Hz reference. (Software timing loops could do the
job.)

Are there any other clever ways to reduce this scheme to fewer off-the-
shelf components?

Stephen

--

Stephen Trier KB8PWA	Dave: [H]as anyone ever met a Zamboni driver?
Other: trier@ins.cwru.edu	Mike: The next version of OS/2 will include a
Home: sct@po.cwru.edu	Zamboni driver. Let's see Microsoft top that!
	(dave@cs.arizona.edu & miked@vnet.ibm.com)

Date: 11 Feb 1994 03:52:18 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!kabuki.EECS.Berkeley.EDU!kennish@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Yaesu MH-29 speaker/mike audio levels
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

I just got the fancy speaker mike for the Yaesu FT-530 HT with
the digital readout and all that. However, it seems to have
a very low audio level on transmit (i.e. low deviation). I literally
have to shout into the mike to get the same audio level as that
obtained by the built in mike on the HT. Anyone else have
this problem or do I have a lemon???

-thanks in advance es 73
Ken

Date: Tue, 8 Feb 1994 21:45:04 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
paladin.american.edu!darwin.sura.net!fconvx.ncifcrf.gov!mack@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-homebrew@ucsd.edu

References <patrick_tatro.16.7C558180@stortek.com>, <CKwzMz.9Ks@ncifcrf.gov>,
<patrick_tatro.17.7C558180@stortek.com>win.su
Subject : Re: 2m/70cm linear - circuit wanted

In article <patrick_tatro.17.7C558180@stortek.com> patrick_tatro@stortek.com

(Patrick Tatro) writes:

>In article <CKwzMz.9Ks@ncifcrf.gov> mack@ncifcrf.gov (Joe Mack) writes:

>>From: mack@ncifcrf.gov (Joe Mack)

>>Subject: Re: 2m/70cm linear - circuit wanted

>>Keywords: Kits

>>Date: Tue, 8 Feb 1994 16:40:11 GMT

>

>>12V and do they have the R/R relays for this price.?

>> Joe Mack NA3T

>> mack@ncifcrf.gov

>Joe

> The kit I built was 12V - I don't know what you mean by R/R relays - The
>amp was a mono bander - It was real easy to assemble - It took abt 5 hours to
>assemble and 1 hour to tune the SWR's . If you need more info contact
>Communication Concepts Inc.

>508 Millstone Drive

>Beavercreek, Ohio

> 45434-5840

>

>ph 513-426-8600

>

>73's

>Patrick Tatro N0WCG

>

>

>

Sorry that was T/R relays (I didn't see it till the next round). I just called
them - Their UHF amp is 28V, but they are hoping to have one for 12V by Dayton.
I think their VHF amp used to be 28V, but now it's 12V. I'll keep me
eyes out. Thanks for the tip.

Joe NA3T

mack@ncifcrf.gov

End of Ham-Homebrew Digest V94 #26
